Chapter 9

Conclusions

In this thesis we have explored various aspects of the low and high energy phenomenology of the Higgs sector beyond the Standard Model including two-Higgs-doublet models, the MSSM and Left-Right models, with particular attention to the impact of the radiative corrections on processes involving top and bottom quarks. We have made clear that the interplay between the Higgs sector and the third generation of quarks at the high-energy colliders can render distinctive phenomenology (chapters 4-6), but a knowledge of the low energy experiments provides also with invaluable information (chapters 7-8 and Sec. 4.2.1) that can not be forgotten.

• First, we have presented a treatment of the supersymmetric (QCD and EW) effects on the decay width of $H^+ \to t\bar{b}$ showing that they could even be larger than the ordinary QCD corrections, reinforcing or counterbalancing them. These calculations were made in the $b \to s\gamma$ constrained MSSM space. We made patent that the soft-SUSY breaking trilinear A_t , together with the higgsino mass parameter μ are tightly constrained after incorporating the bounds on this decay and that they may throw a helping hand in choosing relevant MSSM parameter regions. Even in this constrained case, the QCD quantum effects are typically the dominant ones. They lie in the range 10%-50%, are slowly decoupling and of both signs. However, there are scenarios with particle masses above the LEP200 discovery range where the EW effects, triggered by

large Yukawa couplings, can be comparable to the QCD ones. This situation occurs for: large $\tan \beta (\gtrsim 20)$ and sbottom masses ($\gtrsim 300\,\mathrm{GeV}$) and relatively light stops and charginos ($\approx 100-200\,\mathrm{GeV}$). In this context the SUSY pay-off amounts to +(30-50)%, half of it being electroweak born. These effects should be visible at the Tevatron or at the LHC through measurements made with a modest precision of 20% of $\Gamma(H^+ \to t\bar{b})$ or of the branching ratio $H^+ \to \tau^+\nu_{\tau}$. Moreover, they could significantly modify the prediction for single top quark production (in association with a charged Higgs boson). Furthermore, we showed that a determination of $\tan \beta$ through the Higgs decay $H^+ \to \tau^+\nu_{\tau}$ should be possible even for Higgs bosons as heavy as 500 GeV.

- Second, we have studied the QCD effects on the supersymmetric neutral Higgs bosons decaying into quarks. We have shown that again they are large (comparable to the ordinary QCD corrections), of both signs and slowly decoupling with $m_{\tilde{g}}$, so that their inclusion in the analysis of Higgs bosons physics at the Tevatron could be of vital importance. Not only are these effects important in the Higgs decay as we first stated, but also in the production mechanisms. In fact, work is being done in the direction to obtain the corrected production cross-sections for Higgs production (both neutral and charged) at the Tevatron, since they could be of tremendous importance to interpret the experimental results. In particular at high $\tan \beta$ the fusion mechanisms to produce MSSM Higgs bosons are the leading ones and they just involve the very same interaction vertices studied in this Thesis.
- Third, we have also shown that important EW effects can be expected from general 2HDM's of non-SUSY type. However, the spectrum of Higgs bosons would be very different in both cases (SUSY and non-SUSY) and this allows to distinguish the two models. We have computed the electroweak, Yukawa driven, one-loop corrections to the unconventional top quark decay width $\Gamma(t \to H^+ b)$ for Type I and II two-Higgs-doublet models, complementing previous studies. Numerically they range approximately from -50% to +30%. These effects alter severely previous tree level analysis presented by the Tevatron Collaboration that placed limits on the plane $\tan \beta M_{H^{\pm}}$, even rendering

them non-applicable. In fact, They could give the clue to unravel the underlying theory behind the discovery of a charged Higgs boson since they help to distinguish the phenomenology of the MSSM and two-Higgs-doublet models.

- Fourth, from low energy phenomenology we were also able to obtain restrictions in the MSSM parameter plane $\tan \beta$ - $M_{H^{\pm}}$ coming from semileptonic *B*-meson decays, thus, improving the bounds previously given. The important results are that
 - 1. while QCD effects do not allow $\mu > 0$ case, inclusion of \widetilde{EW} permits that, and that
 - 2. in general $\widetilde{\text{EW}}$ quantum corrections do not modify severely the limits except for areas where the $\widetilde{\text{QCD}}$ contributions are small.
- Lastly, the limits on the couplings and masses of a Left-Right Higgs triplet have been updated. Using low-energy neutrino physics the Higgs triplet effects would be detectable with the proposed measurement of the ratio $R_{LCD} = \sigma(\nu_{\mu}e)/[\sigma(\overline{\nu}_{\mu}e) + \sigma(\nu_{e}e)]$ at LAMPF, and we find that in a large part of the still allowed parameter region the Left-Right tree level effects amount to corrections as large as 50%. Indeed, scenarios as natural as having $h_{ee} \sim h_{\mu\mu} \sim g$, but with $h_{e\mu} = 0$, and $m_{h^+} \lesssim m_{h^{++}} \sim 500 \, GeV$ are allowed and such an experiment would put tight bounds on this couplings and masses.

A general conclusion of our work is that radiative corrections, both on low and high-energy physics phenomena (especially those related to Yukawa couplings) are a potential source of important contributions that should be taken into account to interpret experimental results and could be of essential help to unravel new physics.

- [1] S. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. **B22**, 579 (1961).
- [2] S. Weinberg, A model of leptons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1264–1266 (1967).
- [3] H. Georgi and S. Glashow, Unity of all elementary particle forces, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 438–441 (1974).
- [4] A. Salam, in *Proceedings of the 8th Nobel Symposium*, edited by A. Svartholm and Wicksells, page 367, Stockholm, 1968.
- [5] F. Abe et al. (CDF Collaboration), Observation of top quark production in anti-p p collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2626-2631 (1995), hep-ex/9503002.
- [6] S. Abachi et al. (D0 Collaboration), Observation of the top quark, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2632–2637 (1995), hep-ex/9503003.
- [7] D. Schaile and P. M. Zerwas, Measuring the weak isospin of B quarks, Phys. Rev. D45, 3262–3265 (1992).
- [8] Y. Fukuda et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Study of the atmospheric neutrino flux in the multi-GeV energy range, (1998), hep-ex/9805006.
- [9] Y. Fukuda et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Evidence for oscillation of atmospheric neutrinos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1562-1567 (1998), hep-ex/9807003.
- [10] J. W. F. Valle, Neutrino masses, where do we stand?, (1998), hep-ph/9809234.

[11] W. Hollik, Review: Status of the Standard Model, in Proceedings of the IVth International Symposium on Radiative Corrections (RADCOR 98), edited by J. Solà, Singapore, 1999, World Scientific.

- [12] W. A. Bardeen, C. T. Hill and M. Lindner, Minimal dynamical symmetry breaking of the Standard Model, Phys. Rev. D41, 1647 (1990).
- [13] R. S. Chivukula, S. B. Selipsky and E. H. Simmons, Nonoblique effects in the Z b anti-b vertex from ETC dynamics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 575 (1992), hep-ph/9204214.
- [14] R. S. Chivukula, E. H. Simmons and J. Terning, A Heavy top quark and the $Z b \bar{b}$ vertex in noncommuting extended technicolor, Phys. Lett. **B331**, 383–389 (1994), hep-ph/9404209.
- [15] H. Georgi, Weak Interactions and Modern Particle Theory, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, 1984.
- [16] A. Dobado, D. Espriu and M. J. Herrero, Chiral Lagrangians as a tool to probe the symmetry breaking sector of the SM at LEP, Phys. Lett. **B255**, 405–414 (1991).
- [17] H. P. Nilles, Supersymmetry, supergravity and particle physics, Phys. Rept. 110, 1 (1984).
- [18] H. E. Haber and G. L. Kane, The search for supersymmetry: probing physics beyond the Standard Model, Phys. Rept. 117, 75 (1985).
- [19] A. B. Lahanas and D. V. Nanopoulos, The road to no scale supergravity, Phys. Rept. 145, 1 (1987).
- [20] S. P. Martin, A Supersymmetry primer, (1997), hep-ph/9709356.
- [21] S. Ferrara, editor, Supersymmetry, volume 1-2, North Holland/World Scientific, Singapore, 1987.

[22] J. W. F. Valle, Supersymmetry without R-parity, in Proceedings of the International Workshop on Quantum Effects in the MSSM, edited by J. Solà, Singapore, 1997, World Scientific.

- [23] F. de Campos et al., R parity violating decays of the top quark and the top squark at the Tevatron, (1998), hep-ph/9903245.
- [24] A. Quadt, Measurement and phenomenology of the proton structure function F(2) from ZEUS at HERA, (1998), hep-ph/9811236.
- [25] D. E. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, Solar and atmospheric neutrino oscillations from bilinear R parity violation, (1999), hep-ph/9901254.
- [26] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, CP conservation in the presence of instantons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440–1443 (1977).
- [27] D. V. Nanopoulos and K. Tamvakis, SUPERCOSMOLOGY, Phys. Lett. 110B, 449 (1982).
- [28] J. A. Harvey, E. W. Kolb, D. B. Reiss and S. Wolfram, Calculation of cosmological baryon asymmetry in grand unified gauge models, Nucl. Phys. B201, 16 (1982).
- [29] A. G. Cohen, D. B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, Spontaneous baryogenesis at the weak phase transition, Phys. Lett. **B263**, 86–92 (1991).
- [30] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Lepton number as the fourth color, Phys. Rev. D10, 275–289 (1974).
- [31] R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, Left-right gauge symmetry and an 'isoconjugate' model of CP violation, Phys. Rev. **D11**, 566–571 (1975).
- [32] G. Senjanovic and R. N. Mohapatra, Exact left-right symmetry and spontaneous violation of parity, Phys. Rev. **D12**, 1502 (1975).
- [33] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Neutrino mass and spontaneous parity violation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 912 (1980).

[34] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Neutrino masses and mixings in gauge models with spontaneous parity violation, Phys. Rev. **D23**, 165 (1981).

- [35] W. de Boer, Updated global MSSM fits, in *Proceedings of the IVth International Symposium on Radiative Corrections (RADCOR 98)*, edited by J. Solà, Singapore, 1999, World Scientific.
- [36] M. Böhm, H. Spiesberger and W. Hollik, On the one loop renormalization of the electroweak Standard Model and its application to leptonic processes, Fortsch. Phys. 34, 687 (1986).
- [37] W. F. L. Hollik, Radiative corrections in the Standard Model and their role for precision tests of the electroweak theory, Fortschr. Phys. 38, 165–260 (1990).
- [38] A. Blondel and C. Verzegnassi, Updated analysis of high precision LEP data, Phys. Lett. **B311**, 346–356 (1993).
- [39] M. Veltman, Large Higgs mass and μe universality, Phys. Lett. **70B**, 253 (1977).
- [40] R. A. Jiménez and J. Solà, Supersymmetric QCD corrections to the top quark decay of a heavy charged Higgs boson, Phys. Lett. **B389**, 53-61 (1996), hep-ph/9511292.
- [41] A. Bartl et al., QCD corrections to the decay $H+\to t$ anti-b in the minimal supersymmetric Standard Model, Phys. Lett. B378, 167-174 (1996), hep-ph/9511385.
- [42] J. A. Coarasa, D. Garcia, J. Guasch, R. A. Jimenez and J. Sola, Heavy charged Higgs boson decaying into top quark in the MSSM, Phys. Lett. B425, 329 (1998), hep-ph/9711472.
- [43] J. A. Coarasa, J. Guasch and J. Solà, $Gamma~(H+ \rightarrow t~anti-b)$ in the MSSM: A Handle for SUSY charged Higgs at the Tevatron and the LHC, (1999), hep-ph/9903213.
- [44] J. Coarasa, J. Guasch and J. Solà, Charged Higgs production at the Tevatron, work in preparation.

[45] J. Solà, Top quark and charged Higgs associated production and decay in the MSSM, Talk at the SUSY/Higgs Workshop, Fermilab, November 1998.

- [46] J. A. Coarasa, D. Garcia, J. Guasch, R. A. Jimenez and J. Solà, Quantum effects on t → H + b in the MSSM: A Window to 'virtual' supersymmetry?, Eur. Phys. J. C2, 373 (1998), hep-ph/9607485.
- [47] R. A. Jimenez, Supersymmetric quantum corrections to the top quark and charged Higgs boson decays, PhD thesis, Univ. Autònoma de Barcelona, 1997.
- [48] J. Guasch, Supersymmetric radiative corrections to top quark and Higgs boson physics, PhD thesis, Univ. Autònoma de Barcelona, 1999.
- [49] J. A. Coarasa, R. A. Jiménez and J. Solà, Strong effects on the hadronic widths of the neutral Higgs bosons in the MSSM, Phys. Lett. B389, 312-320 (1996), hep-ph/9511402.
- [50] M. Carena, S. Mrenna and C. E. M. Wagner, MSSM Higgs boson phenomenology at the Tevatron collider, (1998), hep-ph/9808312.
- [51] J. A. Coarasa, R. A. Jiménez and J. Solà, The tan $\beta M_{H^{\pm}}$ bound from inclusive semitauonic B decays in the MSSM, Phys. Lett. **B406**, 337–346 (1997), hep-ph/9701392.
- [52] Particle Data Group, Review of Particle Physics, Eur. Phys. J. C3, 1 (1998).
- [53] Y. Grossman, H. E. Haber and Y. Nir, QCD corrections to charged Higgs mediated b → c tau neutrino decay, Phys. Lett. B357, 630-636 (1995), hep-ph/9507213.
- [54] J. A. Coarasa, J. Guasch, J. Solà and W. Hollik, Top quark decay into charged Higgs boson in a general two Higgs doublet model: Implications for the Tevatron data, Phys. Lett. B442, 326 (1998), hep-ph/9808278.
- [55] J. A. Coarasa, J. Guasch and J. Solà, Radiative corrections to top quark decay into charged Higgs at the Tevatron, (1999), hep-ph/9903212.

[56] F. Abe et al. (CDF Collaboration), Search for charged Higgs decays of the top quark using hadronic decays of the tau lepton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 357-362 (1997), hep-ex/9704003.

- [57] F. Abe et al. (CDF Collaboration), Search for charged Higgs decays of the top quark using hadronic tau decays, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 735-742 (1996), hep-ex/9601003.
- [58] B. Bevensee, Searches for charged Higgs bosons and Supersymmetry with CDF, in *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Quantum Effects in the MSSM*, edited by J. Solà, Singapore, 1997, World Scientific.
- [59] J. F. Gunion, J. Grifols, A. Mendez, B. Kayser and F. Olness, Higgs bosons in left-right symmetric models, Phys. Rev. D40, 1546 (1989).
- [60] J. P. Leveille, The second order weak correction to (g 2) of the muon in arbitrary gauge models, Nucl. Phys. **B137**, 63 (1978).
- [61] V. Barger, H. Baer, W. Y. Keung and R. J. N. Phillips, Decays of weak vector bosons and t quarks into doubly charged Higgs scalars, Phys. Rev. D26, 218 (1982).
- [62] M. L. Swartz, Limits on doubly charged Higgs bosons and lepton flavor violation, Phys. Rev. **D40**, 1521 (1989).
- [63] P. Herczeg and R. N. Mohapatra, Muonium to anti-muonium conversion and the decay mu+ → e+ anti-neutrino neutrino in left-right symmetric models, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2475–2478 (1992).
- [64] J. A. Coarasa, A. Mendez and J. Solà, Higgs triplet effects in purely leptonic processes, Phys. Lett. B374, 131–137 (1996), hep-ph/9511297.
- [65] A Proposal for a Precision Test of the Standard Model by Neutrino-Electron Scattering (Large Cerenkov Detector Project). Los Alamos report LA-11300-P, Technical report, Los Alamos National Laboratory, 1988.

[66] P. Vilain et al. (CHARM-II Collaboration), Precision measurement of electroweak parameters from the scattering of muon-neutrinos on electrons, Phys. Lett. B335, 246-252 (1994).

- [67] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, G. L. Kane and S. Dawson, The Higgs hunter's guide, Addison-Wesley, Menlo-Park, 1990.
- [68] S. L. Glashow and S. Weinberg, Natural conservation laws for neutral currents, Phys. Rev. D15, 1958 (1977).
- [69] J. Wess and J. Bagger, Supersymmetry and Supergravity, Princeton University Press, 1983.
- [70] P. Fayet, Supersymmetry and weak, electromagnetic and strong interactions, Phys. Lett. B64, 159 (1976).
- [71] S. Wolfram, Mathematica- A System for doing Mathematics by Computer, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA, 1991.
- [72] W. Hollik, in Precision Tests of the Standard Electroweak Model, edited by P. Langacker, Advanced Series in Directions in High Energy Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [73] J. Solà, Correccions radiatives supersimètriques als paràmetres electrofebles, PhD thesis, Univ. Autònoma de Barcelona, 1985.
- [74] J. A. Grifols and J. Solà, One loop renormalization of the electroweak parameters in N=1 supersymmetry, Nucl. Phys. **B253**, 47 (1985).
- [75] J. A. Grifols and J. Solà, Radiative corrections to weak boson masses from supersymmetry, Phys. Lett. 137B, 257 (1984).
- [76] J. Solà, Phenomenological Aspects of Supersymmetry, in *Lecture Notes in Physics*, **405** (Springer-Verlag), edited by W. Hollik, R. Rückl and J. Wess, page 187, 1992.

[77] J. Ellis, G. Ridolfi and F. Zwirner, On radiative corrections to supersymmetric Higgs boson masses and their implications for LEP searches, Phys. Lett. B262, 477–484 (1991).

- [78] A. Brignole, J. Ellis, G. Ridolfi and F. Zwirner, The Supersymmetric charged Higgs boson mass and LEP phenomenology, Phys. Lett. B271, 123–132 (1991).
- [79] H. E. Haber and R. Hempfling, Can the mass of the lightest Higgs boson of the minimal supersymmetric model be larger than M_Z ?, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1815–1818 (1991).
- [80] H. E. Haber and R. Hempfling, The Renormalization group improved Higgs sector of the minimal supersymmetric model, Phys. Rev. D48, 4280-4309 (1993), hep-ph/9307201.
- [81] H. E. Haber, in Perspectives on Higgs Physics, edited by G. Kane, volume 13 of Advanced Series on Directions in High Energy Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.
- [82] J. Guasch and J. Solà, Supersymmetric three body decays of the top quark in the MSSM, Z. Phys. C74, 337–354 (1997), hep-ph/9603441.
- [83] J. F. Gunion and H. E. Haber, Higgs bosons in supersymmetric models. 1, Nucl. Phys. B272, 1 (1986).
- [84] J. M. Frere, D. R. T. Jones and S. Raby, Fermion masses and induction of the weak scale by supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B222, 11 (1983).
- [85] M. Claudson, L. J. Hall and I. Hinchliffe, Low-energy supergravity: false vacua and vacuous predictions, Nucl. Phys. **B228**, 501 (1983).
- [86] C. Kounnas, A. B. Lahanas, D. V. Nanopoulos and M. Quiros, Low-energy behavior of realistic locally supersymmetric grand unified theories, Nucl. Phys. **B236**, 438 (1984).
- [87] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber and M. Sher, Charge / color breaking minima and Apparameter bounds in supersymmetric models, Nucl. Phys. **B306**, 1 (1988).

[88] M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collaboration), Searches for scalar top and scalar bottom quarks in e+e- interactions at 161 GeV $\leq \sqrt{S} \leq$ 183 GeV, CERN-EP-98-135.

- [89] G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collaboration), Search for chargino and neutralino production at $\sqrt{S} = 181 \,\text{GeV} 184 \,\text{GeV}$ at LEP, (1998), hep-ex/9809031.
- [90] P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collaboration), A Search for heavy stable and long lived squarks and sleptons in e+ e- collisions at energies from 130-GeV to 183-GeV, (1998), hep-ex/9811007.
- [91] R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collaboration), Searches for the neutral Higgs bosons of the MSSM in e⁺e⁻ collisions at centre-of-mass energies of 181 GeV to 184 GeV, CERN-EP-98-145, Phys. Lett. B to appear.
- [92] M. Krawczyk, Status of 2HDM with a light Higgs particle, (1996), hep-ph/9612460.
- [93] W. Hollik, Precision Observables in the MSSM and Global Analyses of Precision Data, in Proceedings of the International Workshop on Quantum Effects in the MSSM, edited by J. Solà, Singapore, 1997, World Scientific.
- [94] D. Garcia, Renormalization of the Z boson width in the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model, PhD thesis, Univ. Autònoma de Barcelona, 1997.
- [95] S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik and G. Weiglein, QCD corrections to the masses of the neutral CP - even Higgs bosons in the MSSM, Phys. Rev. D58, 091701 (1998), hep-ph/9803277.
- [96] R. Hempfling and A. H. Hoang, Two loop radiative corrections to the upper limit of the lightest Higgs boson mass in the minimal supersymmetric model, Phys. Lett. B331, 99-106 (1994), hep-ph/9401219.
- [97] H. Haber, Radiative Corrections in the Higgs sector of the MSSM, (1998), Contribution to the proceedings of IVth International Symposium on Radiative Corrections (RADCOR 98), Barcelona, 8-12 September 1998. World Scientific, ed. Joan Solà.

[98] M. Masip, The Light Higgs in supersymmetric models with Higgs triplets, (1998), hep-ph/9810303.

- [99] M. Masip, R. Muñoz-Tapia and A. Pomarol, Limits on the mass of the lightest Higgs in supersymmetric models, Phys. Rev. D57, 5340-5344 (1998), hep-ph/9801437.
- [100] J. A. Grifols, R. N. Mohapatra and A. Riotto, New astrophysical constraints on the mass of the superlight gravitino, Phys. Lett. B400, 124–128 (1997), hep-ph/9612253.
- [101] D. A. Ross and J. C. Taylor, Renormalization of a unified theory of weak and electromagnetic interactions, Nucl. Phys. B51, 125 (1973).
- [102] W. J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Dimensional regularization of infrared divergences, Nucl. Phys. B88, 86 (1975).
- [103] M. Bohm, W. Hollik and H. Spiesberger, Electroweak parameters and leptonic processes, Z. Phys. C27, 523 (1985).
- [104] G. Passarino and M. Veltman, One loop corrections for e^+e^- annihilation into $\mu^+\mu^-$ in the Weinberg model, Nucl. Phys. **B160**, 151 (1979).
- [105] A. Sirlin, Radiative corrections in the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ theory: a simple renormalization framework, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 971–981 (1980).
- [106] W. J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Radiative corrections to neutrino induced neutral current phenomena in the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ theory, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 2695 (1980).
- [107] F. Jegerlehner, Renormalizing the Standard Model, Lectures given at the Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics, (TASI), Boulder, Colo., Jun 3-29, 1990.
- [108] A. Denner, Techniques for calculation of electroweak radiative corrections at the one loop level and results for W physics at LEP200, Fortschr. Phys. 41, 307–420 (1993).
- [109] D. Garcia, R. A. Jiménez, J. Solà and W. Hollik, Electroweak supersymmetric quantum corrections to the top quark width, Nucl. Phys. **B427**, 53–80 (1994), hep-ph/9402341.

[110] A. Dabelstein, W. Hollik, C. Junger, R. A. Jiménez and J. Solà, Strong supersymmetric quantum effects on the top quark width, Nucl. Phys. B454, 75–85 (1995), hep-ph/9503398.

- [111] D. Garcia and J. Solà, Full one loop supersymmetric quantum effects on M_W , Mod. Phys. Lett. **A9**, 211–224 (1994).
- [112] P. H. Chankowski, A. Dabelstein, W. Hollik, W. Mösle, S. Pokorski and J. Rosiek, Delta R in the MSSM, Nucl. Phys. B417, 101–129 (1994).
- [113] A. Djouadi, Decays of the Higgs bosons, in *Proceedings of the International Workshop* on Quantum Effects in the MSSM, edited by J. Solà, Singapore, 1997, World Scientific.
- [114] C. S. Li, R. J. Oakes and T. C. Yuan, *QCD corrections to* $t \to W^+b$, Phys. Rev. **D43**, 3759–3762 (1991).
- [115] A. Méndez and A. Pomarol, t quark loop corrections to the charged Higgs boson hadronic width, Phys. Lett. **B265**, 177–181 (1991).
- [116] A. Djouadi and P. Gambino, QCD corrections to Higgs boson selfenergies and fermionic decay widths, Phys. Rev. D51, 218–228 (1995), hep-ph/9406431.
- [117] A. Djouadi and P. Gambino, QCD corrections to Higgs boson selfenergies and fermionic decay widths, Phys. Rev. Erratum-ibid.D53, 4111 (1996), hep-ph/9406431.
- [118] F. Gianotti, Precision physics at LHC, in Proceedings of the IVth International Symposium on Radiative Corrections (RADCOR 98), edited by J. Solà, Singapore, 1999, World Scientific.
- [119] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, F. E. Paige, W.-K. Tung and S. S. D. Willenbrock, Neutral and charged HIGGS detection: heavy quark fusion, top quark mass dependence and rare decays, Nucl. Phys. B294, 621 (1987).
- [120] R. M. Barnett, H. E. Haber and D. E. Soper, Ultraheavy particle production from heavy partons at hadron colliders, Nucl. Phys. B306, 697 (1988).

[121] S. Dawson, Z. Kunszt and J. F. Gunion, TPerspectives on Higgs Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.

- [122] S. Bertolini, F. Borzumati, A. Masiero and G. Ridolfi, Effects of supergravity induced electroweak breaking on rare B decays and mixings, Nucl. Phys. B353, 591–649 (1991).
- [123] R. Barbieri and G. F. Giudice, $b \to s\gamma$ decay and supersymmetry, Phys. Lett. **B309**, 86–90 (1993), hep-ph/9303270.
- [124] R. Garisto and J. N. Ng, Supersymmetric $b \to s\gamma$ with large chargino contributions, Phys. Lett. **B315**, 372–378 (1993), hep-ph/9307301.
- [125] M. A. Diaz, The $b \to s\gamma$ decay in supergravity with radiatively electroweak breaking, Phys. Lett. **B322**, 207–212 (1994), hep-ph/9311228.
- [126] F. M. Borzumati, The Decay $b \to s\gamma$ in the MSSM revisited, Z. Phys. C63, 291–308 (1994), hep-ph/9310212.
- [127] S. Bertolini and F. Vissani, Supersymmetric predictions for the inclusive $b \to s \gamma$ decay, Z. Phys. C67, 513–524 (1995), hep-ph/9403397.
- [128] M. Carena and C. E. M. Wagner, Higgs and supersymmetric particle signals at the infrared fixed point of the top quark mass, Nucl. Phys. B452, 45-79 (1995), hep-ph/9408253.
- [129] R. Rattazzi and U. Sarid, The Unified minimal supersymmetric model with large Yukawa couplings, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 1553-1585 (1996), hep-ph/9505428.
- [130] K. Chetyrkin, M. Misiak and M. Munz, Weak radiative B meson decay beyond leading logarithms, Phys. Lett. B400, 206-219 (1997), hep-ph/9612313.
- [131] M. Ciuchini, G. Degrassi, P. Gambino and G. F. Giudice, Next-to-leading QCD corrections to $B \to X(s)$ gamma: Standard model and two Higgs doublet model, Nucl. Phys. **B527**, 21 (1998), hep-ph/9710335.

[132] M. S. Alam et al. (CLEO Collaboration), First measurement of the rate for the inclusive radiative penguin decay $b \rightarrow s$ gamma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2885–2889 (1995).

- [133] M. Carena, M. Olechowski, S. Pokorski and C. E. M. Wagner, Electroweak symmetry breaking and bottom - top Yukawa unification, Nucl. Phys. B426, 269–300 (1994), hep-ph/9402253.
- [134] L. J. Hall, R. Rattazzi and U. Sarid, The Top quark mass in supersymmetric SO(10) unification, Phys. Rev. D50, 7048-7065 (1994), hep-ph/9306309.
- [135] J. Kubo, M. Mondragon and G. Zoupanos, Perturbative unification of soft supersymmetry breaking terms, Phys. Lett. B389, 523-532 (1996), hep-ph/9609218.
- [136] J. Kubo, M. Mondragon and G. Zoupanos, Unification beyond GUTs: Gauge Yukawa unification, Acta Phys. Polon. B27, 3911-3944 (1997), hep-ph/9703289.
- [137] A. Yamada, The Higgs sector of the minimal supersymmetric standard model including radiative corrections, Z. Phys. **C61**, 247 (1994).
- [138] P. Chankowski, S. Pokorski and J. Rosiek, Complete on-shell renormalization scheme for the minimal supersymmetric Higgs sector, Nucl. Phys. B423, 437-496 (1994), hep-ph/9303309.
- [139] A. Dabelstein, The One loop renormalization of the MSSM Higgs sector and its application to the neutral scalar Higgs masses, Z. Phys. C67, 495–512 (1995), hep-ph/9409375.
- [140] A. Dabelstein, Fermionic decays of neutral MSSM Higgs bosons at the one loop level, Nucl. Phys. B456, 25–56 (1995), hep-ph/9503443.
- [141] J. Collins, Renormalization, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [142] M. R. Barnett, J. Gunion, H. Haber, I. Hinchliffe, B. Hubbard and H. Trost, Searching for top decays to charged Higgs bosons with the SDC detector, ANL-HEP-TR-90-86.

[143] R. M. Godbole and D. P. Roy, Charged Higgs search via heavy top decay at Tevatron collider energy, Phys. Rev. D43, 3640–3647 (1991).

- [144] J. F. Gunion and L. H. Orr, Detecting the Higgs bosons of the minimal supersymmetric model, Phys. Rev. D46, 2052–2067 (1992).
- [145] Atlas technical proposal for a general-purpose pp experiment at the Large Hadron Collider at CERN, Technical report, CERN, December 1994.
- [146] W. Hollik, Talk given at the IFAE, Univ. Autònoma de Barcelona, 1996.
- [147] Y. Yamada, Definition of $\tan \beta$ beyond tree level, (1996), hep-ph/9608382.
- [148] S. Bertolini, Quantum effects in a two Higgs doublet model of the electroweak interactions, Nucl. Phys. B272, 77 (1986).
- [149] V. Barger, M. S. Berger and P. Ohmann, Supersymmetric grand unified theories: Two loop evolution of gauge and Yukawa couplings, Phys. Rev. D47, 1093-1113 (1993), hep-ph/9209232.
- [150] M. Carena, S. Pokorski and C. E. M. Wagner, On the unification of couplings in the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model, Nucl. Phys. B406, 59-89 (1993), hep-ph/9303202.
- [151] J. Guasch, R. A. Jimenez and J. Solà, Supersymmetric QCD corrections to the charged Higgs boson decay of the top quark, Phys. Lett. **B360**, 47–56 (1995), hep-ph/9507461.
- [152] A. Bartl, K. Hidaka, Y. Kizukuri, T. Kon and W. Majerotto, The Impact of large Yukawa coupling on supersymmetric charged Higgs boson decay, Phys. Lett. B315, 360 (1993).
- [153] H. Konig, QCD corrections to the $H+\to t$ anti-b decay within the minimal supersymmetric Standard Model, Mod. Phys. Lett. A10, 1113–1118 (1995), hep-ph/9405377.
- [154] E. Braaten and J. P. Leveille, Higgs boson decay and the running mass, Phys. Rev. D22, 715 (1980).

[155] M. Drees and K. ichi Hikasa, Note on QCD corrections to hadronic Higgs decay, Phys. Lett. B240, 455 (1990).

- [156] D. J. Miller, Precision Studies at a future linear collider, in Proceedings of the IVth International Symposium on Radiative Corrections (RADCOR 98), edited by J. Solà, Singapore, 1999, World Scientific.
- [157] Z. K. S. Dawson and J. F. Gunion, Perspectives on Higgs Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.
- [158] H. M. Georgi, S. L. Glashow, M. E. Machacek and D. V. Nanopoulos, Higgs bosons from two gluon annihilation in proton-proton collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 692 (1978).
- [159] A. Djouadi, M. Spira and P. M. Zerwas, Production of Higgs bosons in proton colliders: QCD corrections, Phys. Lett. B264, 440–446 (1991).
- [160] S. Dawson, Radiative corrections to Higgs boson production, Nucl. Phys. B359, 283–300 (1991).
- [161] W. J. Marciano and S. S. D. Willenbrock, Radiative corrections to heavy Higgs scalar production and decay, Phys. Rev. D37, 2509 (1988).
- [162] J. Fleischer and F. Jegerlehner, Radiative corrections to Higgs decays in the extended Weinberg-Salam Model, Phys. Rev. D23, 2001 (1981).
- [163] D. Garcia, R. A. Jiménez and J. Solà, Supersymmetric electroweak renormalization of the Z width in the MSSM. 2, Phys. Lett. B347, 321-331 (1995), hep-ph/9410311.
- [164] D. Garcia, R. A. Jiménez and J. Solà, Supersymmetric electroweak renormalization of the Z width in the MSSM. 1, Phys. Lett. B347, 309-320 (1995), hep-ph/9410310.
- [165] A. Czarnecki and S. Davidson, QCD corrections to the charged Higgs decay of a heavy quark, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 4183–4187 (1993), hep-ph/9301237.

[166] A. Czarnecki and S. Davidson, On the QCD corrections to the charged Higgs decay of a heavy quark, Phys. Rev. D47, 3063-3064 (1993), hep-ph/9208240, and references therein.

- [167] J. Guasch and J. Solà, Implications on the supersymmetric Higgs sector from top quark decays at the Tevatron, Phys. Lett. B416, 353-360 (1998), hep-ph/9707535.
- [168] C.-S. Li, B.-Q. Hu and J.-M. Yang, Electroweak radiative corrections to $t \to H^+b$ for a heavy top, Phys. Rev. **D47**, 2865–2871 (1993).
- [169] A. Czarnecki, Electroweak corrections to decays involving a charged Higgs boson, Phys. Rev. D48, 5250-5258 (1993), hep-ph/9306291.
- [170] A. J. Buras, M. Misiak, M. Munz and S. Pokorski, Theoretical uncertainties and phenomenological aspects of $B \to X(s)$ gamma decay, Nucl. Phys. **B424**, 374–398 (1994), hep-ph/9311345.
- [171] A. J. Buras, A. Kwiatkowski and N. Pott, On the scale uncertainties in the $B \to X(s)$ gamma decay, Phys. Lett. **B414**, 157 (1997), hep-ph/9707482.
- [172] P. Ciafaloni, A. Romanino and A. Strumia, Two loop QCD corrections to charged Higgs mediated $b \rightarrow s$ gamma decay, Nucl. Phys. **B524**, 361 (1998), hep-ph/9710312.
- [173] F. M. Borzumati and C. Greub, 2HDMs predictions for anti-B \rightarrow X(s) gamma in NLO QCD, (1998), hep-ph/9802391.
- [174] J. Alexander, Talk given at ICHEP 98, 1998.
- [175] R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collaboration), A Measurement of the inclusive $b \rightarrow s$ gamma branching ratio, Phys. Lett. **B429**, 169–187 (1998).
- [176] M. Krawczyk, Testing 2HDM at muon colliders, (1997), hep-ph/9803484.
- [177] M. Guchait and D. P. Roy, Constraints on the charged Higgs sector from the Tevatron collider data on top quark decay, Phys. Rev. **D55**, 7263–7266 (1997), hep-ph/9610514.

[178] P. Tipton, Talk presented at the XXVIIIth Int. Conf. on High Energy Physics (HEP96), Warsaw, August 1996, 1996.

- [179] E. L. Berger and H. Contopanagos, Perturbative gluon resummation of the top quark production cross section, Phys. Lett. **B361**, 115–120 (1995), hep-ph/9507363.
- [180] E. L. Berger and H. Contopanagos, The Perturbative resummed series for top quark production in hadron reactions, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 3085–3113 (1996), hep-ph/9603326.
- [181] W. Hollik, W. M. Mösle and D. Wackeroth, Top pair production at hadron colliders in nonminimal standard models, Nucl. Phys. B516, 29 (1998), hep-ph/9706218.
- [182] F. Abe et al. (CDF Collaboration), The $\mu\tau$ and $e\tau$ decays of top quark pairs produced in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3585–3590 (1997), hep-ex/9704007.
- [183] W. de Boer, A. Dabelstein, W. Hollik, W. Mosle and U. Schwickerath, *Updated global* fits of the SM and MSSM to electroweak precision data, (1996), hep-ph/9609209.
- [184] V. Barger, M. S. Berger and R. J. N. Phillips, Implications of $b \to s$ gamma decay measurements in testing the MSSM Higgs sector, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1368-1371 (1993), hep-ph/9211260.
- [185] A. K. Grant, The Heavy top quark in the two Higgs doublet model, Phys. Rev. D51, 207-217 (1995), hep-ph/9410267.
- [186] D. Buskulic et al. (ALEPH Collaboration), Measurement of the b → tau- anti-tauneutrino X branching ratio and an upper limit on B- → tau- anti- tau-neutrino, Phys. Lett. B343, 444–452 (1995).
- [187] M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collaboration), Measurement of the inclusive $B \to tau$ neutrino X branching ratio, Phys. Lett. **B332**, 201–208 (1994).
- [188] L. Montanet et al., Review of particle properties. Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D50, 1173-1823 (1994).

[189] R. M. Barnett et al., Review of particle physics. Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D54, 1–720 (1996).

- [190] M. Neubert, Heavy quark symmetry, Phys. Rept. 245, 259–396 (1994), hep-ph/9306320.
- [191] B. Grinstein, An Introduction to the theory of heavy mesons and baryons, (1994), hep-ph/9411275.
- [192] A. F. Falk, Z. Ligeti, M. Neubert and Y. Nir, Heavy quark expansion for the inclusive decay anti-B \rightarrow tau anti-neutrino X, Phys. Lett. **B326**, 145–153 (1994), hep-ph/9401226.
- [193] L. Koyrakh, Nonperturbative corrections to the heavy lepton energy distribution in the inclusive decays $H(b) \rightarrow tau$ anti- neutrino X, Phys. Rev. **D49**, 3379–3384 (1994), hep-ph/9311215.
- [194] S. Balk, J. G. Korner, D. Pirjol and K. Schilcher, Inclusive semileptonic B decays in QCD including lepton mass effects, Z. Phys. C64, 37–44 (1994), hep-ph/9312220.
- [195] A. Czarnecki, M. Jezabek and J. H. Kuhn, Radiative corrections to $b \to c$ tau anti-tau-neutrino, Phys. Lett. **B346**, 335–341 (1995), hep-ph/9411282.
- [196] M. Jezabek and L. Motyka, Perturbative QCD corrections to inclusive lepton distributions from semileptonic b → c anti-tau-neutrino (tau) decays, Acta Phys. Polon. B27, 3603 (1996), hep-ph/9609352.
- [197] Y. Grossman and Z. Ligeti, The Inclusive anti-B → tau anti-neutrino X decay in two Higgs doublet models, Phys. Lett. B332, 373–380 (1994), hep-ph/9403376.
- [198] A. Pascual, Updated Measurements of $b \to \tau \nu_{\tau} X$ decays, in *Proc. of the 2nd Internat*. Conf. on Hyperons, Montreal, Quebec, 27-30 August 1996, 1996.
- [199] A. Collab., paper contributed to the ICHEP, Warsaw, Poland, 25-31 July 1996.
- [200] A. Pascual, PhD Thesis, PhD thesis, Univ. Autònoma de Barcelona, 1995.

[201] R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collaboration), A Measurement of the QCD color factors and a limit on the light gluino, Z. Phys. C76, 1–14 (1997).

- [202] J. A. Grifols and A. Mendez, the WZH+-coupling in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge models, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 1725 (1980).
- [203] A. Méndez and T. Weiler, Vanderbilt Univ. VAND-TH preprint, in preparation.
- [204] J. Solà, in *Phenomenological Aspects of Supersymmetry*, edited by R. R. W. Hollik and J. Wess.
- [205] Y. Grossman, Nonstandard neutrino interactions and neutrino oscillation experiments, Phys. Lett. B359, 141-147 (1995), hep-ph/9507344.
- [206] G. Drexlin et al. (KARMEN Collaboration), Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys. 32, 375 (1994).
- [207] J. Kleinfeller et al. (KARMEN Collaboration), Neutrino nuclear interactions and search for neutrino oscillations with KARMEN, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 48, 207 (1996).
- [208] T. Kinoshita and W. B. Lindquist, Eighth order anomalous magnetic moment of the electron, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 1573 (1981).
- [209] T. Kinoshita, B. Nizic and Y. Okamoto, Improved theory of the muon anomalous magnetic moment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 717 (1984).
- [210] W. Hollik, in Proc. of the Tennessee Int. Symp. on Radiative Corrections, edited by B. Ward, Gatlinburg, TN, World Scientific.
- [211] R. C. Allen et al., Study of electron-neutrino electron elastic scattering at LAMPF, Phys. Rev. D47, 11–28 (1993).
- [212] S. Sarantakos, A. Sirlin and W. J. Marciano, Radiative corrections to neutrino lepton scattering in the SU(2)-L x U(1) theory, Nucl. Phys. B217, 84 (1983).
- [213] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, Scalar one loop integrals, Nucl. Phys. B153, 365–401 (1979).

[214] A. Axelrod, Flavor changing Z^0 decay and the top quark, Nucl. Phys. **B209**, 349 (1982).

2.1	Feynman rules corresponding to the interactions described by the lagrangian	
	of eq. (2.54). Each arrow indicates one unit of total lepton number	36
4.1	Charged Higgs decay branching ratios at tree level [113]	50
4.2	Typical charged-Higgs production mechanisms at hadron colliders: (a) H^+ production through $t \bar{b}$ -fusion; and (b) through charged Higgs bremsstrahlung off top and bottom quarks	51
4.3	Single top quark production through gluon fusion $(gg \to H^+ \bar{t}b)$ mechanisms in associatiation with charged Higgs at hadron colliders [44]	53
4.4	Heavy Charged Higgs partial decay widths [47] as a function of tan β for several Higgs masses	56
4.5	Domains of the MSSM parameter space in the (μ, A_t) -plane allowed by $b \to s \gamma$ at 2σ level and the theoretical constraints explained in the text, for given values of the other parameters. The proper domains are the shaded ones	57
4.6	Domains of the MSSM parameter space in the (μ, A_t) -plane allowed by $b \to s \gamma$ at 2σ level and the theoretical constraints explained in the text, for given values of the other parameters. The proper domains are the shaded ones	59

4.7	(a) Domains of the MSSM parameter space in the $(\tan \beta, A_t)$ -plane allowed	
	by $b o s \gamma$ at 2σ level and the theoretical constraints explained in the text,	
	for given values of the other parameters. The proper domains are the shaded	
	ones. Remaining inputs as in Fig.4.5. (b) As in (a), but for the $(m_{\tilde{b}_1}, A_t)$ -	
	plane. (c) As in (a), but for the $(m_{\tilde{t}_1}, A_t)$ -plane. (d) As in (a), but for the	
	$(m_{ ilde{u}_1},A_t)$ -plane	61
4.8	(a) Domains of the MSSM parameter space in the (M, A_t) -plane allowed by	
	$b o s \gamma$ at 2σ level and the theoretical constraints explained in the text, for	
	given values of the other parameters. The proper domains are the shaded ones.	
	Remaining inputs as in Fig.4.5. (b) As in (a), but for the (m_{H^+}, A_t) -plane.	
	(c) As in (a), but for the (m_t, A_t) -plane. (d) As in (a), but for the (m_b, A_t) -	
	$plane. \dots \dots$	63
4.9	(a) Domains of the MSSM parameter space in the $(\tan \beta, A_t)$ -plane allowed	
	by $b o s \gamma$ at 2σ level and the theoretical constraints explained in the text,	
	for given values of the other parameters. The proper domains are the shaded	
	ones. (b) As in (a), but for the $(m_{\tilde{b}_1}, A_t)$ -plane. (c) As in (a), but for the	
	$(m_{\tilde{t}_1},A_t)$ -plane. (d) As in (a), but for the $(m_{\tilde{u}_1},A_t)$ -plane	64
4.10	The mixed blobs W^+ - H^+ and G^+ - H^+ at any order of perturbation theory	69
4.11	The lowest-order Feynman diagram for the charged Higgs decay $H^+ o t ar b$	74
4.12	Feynman diagrams, up to one-loop order, for the QCD and electroweak SUSY	
	$vertex$ corrections to the decay process $H^+ ightarrow tar{b}$. Each one-loop diagram	
	is summed over all possible values of the mass-eigenstate gluinos (\tilde{g}_r ; $r=$	
	$1,\ldots,8),\ charginos\ (\Psi_i^\pm;i\ =\ 1,2),\ neutralinos\ (\Psi_{lpha}^0;lpha\ =\ 1,,4),\ stop\ and$	
	sbottom squarks $(\tilde{b}_a, \tilde{t}_b; a, b = 1, 2)$	76
4.13	Feynman diagrams, up to one-loop order, for the Higgs and Goldstone boson	
	vertex corrections to the decay process $H^+ o t ar b$	80
4.14	QCD and Electroweak self-energy corrections to the top and bottom quark ex-	
	ternal lines from the various supersymmetric particles, Higgs and Goldstone	
	$bosons. \ (Cont.) \ \dots $	83

4.15	QCD and Electroweak self-energy corrections to the top and bottom quark ex- ternal lines from the various supersymmetric particles, Higgs and Goldstone	
	$bosons. \ (Cont.) \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots$	84
4.16	Corrections to the charged Higgs self-energy from the various supersymmetric particles and matter fermions. Only the third quark-squark generation is illustrated	86
4.17	Corrections to the mixed $W^+ - H^+$ self-energy from the various supersymmetric particles and matter fermions. Only the third quark-squark generation is illustrated	87
4.18	(a) Leading SUSY-QCD contributions to $\delta m_b/m_b$ in the electroweak-eigenstate basis; (b) Leading supersymmetric Yukawa coupling contributions to $\delta m_b/m_b$ in the electroweak-eigenstate basis	90
4.19	Leading supersymmetric electroweak contributions to $\delta m_{ au}/m_{ au}$ in the electroweak-eigenstate basis	92
4.20	SUSY-QCD corrected $\Gamma(H^+ \to t\bar{b})$ as a function of $\tan\beta$ for two opposite values of μ , compared to the corresponding tree-level width, $\Gamma_0(H^+ \to t\bar{b})$; For completeness we have included in this figure the partial widths of the alternative decays $H^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and $H^+ \to W^+ h^0$, which are obviously free of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ QCD corrections. We study these effects in two different Higgs mass scenarios: (a) $M_{H^\pm} = 250 \mathrm{GeV}$ and (b) $M_{H^\pm} = 350 \mathrm{GeV}$.	96
4.21	We separate the contributions from vertex and self-energies on the dependence of δ for the QCD correction. The self-energy contribution is dominated by the finite threshold effect (eq. 4.71)	97
4.22	The branching ratio of $H^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau$ for positive and negative values of μ and A_t allowed by eq.(4.4), as a function of the charged Higgs mass; A is a common value for the trilinear couplings. The central curve includes the standard QCD	
	effects only	98

The SUSY-EW, SUSY-QCD, standard QCD and full MSSM contributions to	
δ , eq.(5.6), as a function of μ ; (b) As in (a), but as a function of $\tan \beta$. Also	
shown in (b) is the Higgs contribution, δ_{Higgs} ; (c) As in (a), but as a function	
of $m_{\tilde{b}_1}$; (d) As a function of $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$. Remaining inputs as in Fig.4.22	100
(a) The SUSY-EW, SUSY-QCD, standard QCD and full MSSM contributions	
to δ , eq.(4.67), as a function of M_{H^+} . Inputs as in Fig.4.23. (b) As in (a),	
but for the positive μ case. Inputs as in Fig.4.25. (c) As in (a), but as a	
function of $m_{\tilde{g}}$ Remaining. (d) As in (b), but as a function of $m_{\tilde{g}}$ Remaining.	101
The SUSY-EW, SUSY-QCD, standard QCD and full MSSM contributions to	
δ , eq.(5.6), as a function of μ ; (b) As in (a), but as a function of $\tan \beta$. Also	
shown in (b) is the Higgs contribution, δ_{Higgs} ; (c) As in (a), but as a function	
of $m_{\tilde{b}_1}$; (d) As a function of $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$. Remaining inputs as in Fig.4.22	103
(a) Typical mechanisms for neutral Higgs production at hadron colliders; (b) SUS	SY-
QCD Feynman diagrams, up to one-loop level, correcting the partial widths of	
$A^0, h^0, H^0 \rightarrow q \bar{q}$. Our proposal to compute this latter process is now realised	
	110
(a) Dependence of the relative SUSY-QCD corrections $\delta_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{i}(q)$ - eq.(5.6) - for	
, and the second	
the abscissa is common to (b) below); (b) As before but for $\delta_{\tilde{g}}^{1,3}(t)$ and heavy	
	115
$\delta^{1,2,3}_{\tilde{q}}(b)$ as a function of the lightest sbottom mass $m_{\tilde{b}_1}$ for various $\tan \beta$ and	
fixed μ and M_{A^0} . The other fixed parameters are as in Fig. 5.2	117
(a) Evolution of $\delta^1_{\tilde{g}}(b) \simeq \delta^2_{\tilde{g}}(b)$ (almost indistinguishable) in terms of the gluino	
mass for various $ an eta$. M_{A^0} is chosen light and the remaining inputs as in	
Fig. 5.2 (the scale of the abscissa is common to (b) below); (b) As before but	
for $\delta^3_{\tilde{g}}(b)$; (c) $\delta^1_{\tilde{g}}(t)$ versus the gluino mass at fixed $\tan \beta$ and for various $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$	
(the scale of the abscissa is common to (d) below); (d) As before but for $\delta_{\tilde{q}}^3(t)$.	118
	shown in (b) is the Higgs contribution, δ_{Higgs} ; (c) As in (a), but as a function of $m_{\tilde{b}_1}$; (d) As a function of $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$. Remaining inputs as in Fig.4.22

5.5	(a) $\delta_{\tilde{g}}^{1,2,3}(b)$ for $\tan \beta = 30$ (upper-born curves) and $\tan \beta = 4$ (lower-born curves) as a function of M_{A^0} and the rest of the parameters as in Fig. 5.2. The middle-born curves stand for the corresponding standard QCD corrections, δ_g^i , to the three decay processes. The latters being negative, we plot $-\delta_g^i$ to ease comparison with the SUSY-QCD corrections at $\mu < 0$; (b) As before but for $\delta_{\tilde{g}}^{1,3}(t)$ and the range of M_{A^0} selected deep into Region II and three values of $\tan \beta$. The scale of the ordinate is common to (a)
6.1	Feynman diagrams, up to one-loop order, for the Higgs and Goldstone boson vertex corrections to the decay process $t \to H^+b$
6.2	The correction δ , eq. (6.7), to the decay width $\Gamma(t \to H^+b)$ as a function of $\tan \beta$, for Type I 2HDM's (left hand side of the figure) and two sets of inputs $\{(M_{H^\pm}, M_{H^0}, M_{h^0}, M_{A^0}); \tan \alpha\}$, namely set A: $\{(70, 175, 100, 50) GeV; 3\}$ and set B: $\{(120, 200, 80, 250) GeV; 1\}$. Similarly for Type II models (right hand side of the figure) and for two different sets of inputs, set A: $\{(120, 300, 50, 225) GeV; 1\}$ and set B: $\{(120, 300, 80, 225) GeV; -3\}$. Shown are the electroweak contribution $\delta_{\rm EW}$ and the total correction $\delta_{\rm Total} = \delta_{\rm EW} + \delta_{\rm QCD}$
6.3	The corrections $\delta_{\rm EW}$ and $\delta_{\rm Total}$ for the Type I2HDM as a function of (a) $\tan \alpha$, (b) the charged Higgs mass, (c) the pseudoscalar Higgs mass, and (d) the heavy (labelled with a triangle) and light (unlabelled) scalar Higgs masses. Inputs as in Fig. 6.2 with $\tan \beta = 0.1$ for set A and $\tan \beta = 0.2$ for set B 138
6.4	The corrections $\delta_{\rm EW}$ and $\delta_{\rm Total}$ for the Type II 2HDM as a function of (a) $\tan \alpha$, (b) the charged Higgs mass, (c) the pseudoscalar Higgs mass, and (d) the heavy (labelled with a triangle) and light (unlabelled) scalar Higgs masses. Inputs as in Fig. 6.2 with $\tan \beta = 35$ for both sets

6.5	The 95% C.L. exclusion plot in the $(\tan \beta, M_{H^{\pm}})$ -plane for (a) Type I 2HDM using three sets of inputs: A and B as in Fig. 6.2, and C: $\{(M_{H^{\pm}}, 200, 80, 700) \text{ Ger}\}$	$\{V\colon 1\}_{\mathcal{E}}$
	(b) Similarly for Type II models including three sets of inputs: A as defined in	,, , <u>-</u> j,
	Fig. 6.2, B' :{ $(M_{H^{\pm}}, 200, 80, 150)$ GeV; 0.3}, and C :{ $(M_{H^{\pm}}, 200, 80, 150)$ GeV; – Shown are the tree-level, QCD-corrected and fully 2HDM-corrected contour	-3}.
	lines. The excluded region in each case is the one lying below these curves	142
7.1	(a) The SUSY-QCD corrected ratio R_{SUSY} , eq.(7.1), as a function of $\tan \beta$, for $\mu = -80$ GeV and given values of the other parameters (7.5). The HQET and α_s parameter ranges are as in Ref. [53]. Also shown are the SM result, R_{SM} , (dotted band) and the Higgs-corrected result without SUSY effects, R_H . The shaded band is the experimental measurement at the 1σ level as given by eqs.(7.3)-(7.4); (b) As in (a), but for $\mu = +10, +50, +80, +150$ GeV	152
7.2	(a) Dependence of R_{SUSY} upon the lightest sbottom mass for $\tan \beta = 45$. Remaining inputs as in Fig. 7.1a. (b) R_{SUSY} as a function of the gluino mass, and the rest of inputs as in (a)	155
7.3	The SUSY-QCD corrected ratio R_{SUSY} , eq.(7.1) when including the leading \widetilde{EW} effects	158
7.4	Allowed region in the $(\tan \beta, M_{H^\pm})$ -plane. Direct LEP limits on M_{A^0} already imply $M_{H^\pm} \gtrsim 100 GeV$ in the MSSM. The shaded region limited by the bold solid line is allowed at the 2σ level by R_{SUSY} for the same fixed parameters as in Fig. 7.1a. The narrow subarea between the thin solid lines is permitted at 1σ only. (The larger is $ \mu $ the narrower is this area.) In contrast, the allowed region at 2σ by the non-supersymmetric calculation, R_H , is the one placed above the dashed line. Also shown is the region excluded (at 2σ) by the non-observation of $t \to H^+ b$ at the Tevatron (including SUSY and just QCD	150
	effects).	159
7.5	Comparison of the allowed region in the $(\tan \beta, M_{H^{\pm}})$ -plane when including only the QCD effects, the leading \widetilde{EW} (eq. 7.15) ones and both (Total)	161

8.1	Diagrams contributing to the different observables described in the text: (a)	
	$\mu^- \to e^- \gamma$; (b) $\mu^- \to \overline{\nu}_{\mu} e^- \nu_e$; (c) anomalous magnetic moment of the elec-	
	tron and muon; and (d) $\nu_{\mu}e$, $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}e$ and $\nu_{e}e$ collisions	168
8.2	Allowed regions (white zones) in the $ \delta_{ee} $ - $ \delta_{\mu\mu} $ plane (a) and $ \delta_{ee} $ - $ \delta_{e\mu} $ plane	
	(b). In the latter we also show the contour lines for the ratio R_{LCD}/R_{LCD}^{SM} as	
	predicted by the Higgs triplet model	176

List of Tables

2.1	Organization of matter fields in Chiral supermultiplets in the Minimal Super-	
	symmetric Standard Model	21
2.2	$Gauge \ supermultiplets \ in \ the \ Minimal \ Supersymmetric \ Standard \ Model. . .$	22
3.1	Self-energies sign conventions for the various kind of particles. The gauge	
	bosons are dealt with in the Feynman gauge	43
6.1	The efficiency factors for the $l au$ channel in WW and WH decay of $tar t$ at the	
	CDF [177]. For the WW process, the corresponding efficiencies from the CDF	
	simulation are shown in parenthesis. The middle column shows the triggering,	
	isolation and identification efficiencies from the CDF simulation. The total	
	efficiencies $\epsilon_{\{1,2\}}$ are obtained by multiplying the three columns	140
7.1	Effective $ an eta/M_H < r_{ m max}$ bound for four $\mu < 0$ scenarios: A) corresponds	
	to the (approximate) present day mass limits on sparticles; B) is defined by	
	the combination of sparticle masses giving the worst possible bound on r_{max} ;	
	finally, C,D) reflect the situation for future sparticle mass limits. In the four	
	cases, we show the 1σ (2σ) upper bounds on $r_{\rm max}$ for the two sets of inputs:	
	(i) eqs.(7.3)-(7.4) and (ii) eqs.(7.16)-(7.17)	153
8.1	Best bounds on δ_{ij}	173
8.2	Best bounds on δ'_{ij}	174

Appendix A

D-dimensional integrals

In this appendix we collect definitions and expressions for the basic integrals in momentum space that appear in the computation of one-loop Feynman diagrams, integrals that are frequently referred to the previous chapters.

Dimensional regularisation is used throughout this appendix ¹. Except when explicitly noted, the given formulae are exact for arbitrary internal masses and external momenta. Most of them are an adaptation to the $g_{\mu\nu} = \{+ - - -\}$ metric of the standard formulae of refs. [104, 213, 214].

Basic scalar functions The requirements of our set of diagrams comprehends only one-, two- and three-point functions, represented by

$$A_0(m) = \int d^D \tilde{q} \, \frac{1}{[q^2 - m^2]}, \tag{A.1}$$

$$B_0(p, m_1, m_2) = \int d^D \tilde{q} \frac{1}{\left[q^2 - m_1^2\right] \left[\left(q + p\right)^2 - m_2^2\right]}, \tag{A.2}$$

$$C_0(p, k, m_1, m_2, m_3) = \int d^D \tilde{q} \frac{1}{[q^2 - m_1^2] [(q+p)^2 - m_2^2] [(q+p+k)^2 - m_3^2]}, \quad (A.3)$$

using the integration measure

$$d^D \tilde{q} \equiv \mu^{(4-D)} \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D}. \tag{A.4}$$

¹As already noted in Chapter 3 though strictly dimensional reduction should be used in SUSY, it is not necessary in our calculations.

Tensor integrals Other tensorial structures do appear in the calculation, leading to the following two and three-point tensor integrals

$$\left[\tilde{B}_{0}, B_{\mu}, B_{\mu\nu}\right](p, m_{1}, m_{2}) = \int d^{D}\tilde{q} \frac{\left[q^{2}, q_{\mu}, q_{\mu}q_{\nu}\right]}{\left[q^{2} - m_{1}^{2}\right]\left[\left(q + p\right)^{2} - m_{2}^{2}\right]},\tag{A.5}$$

$$\left[\tilde{C}_{0}, C_{\mu}, C_{\mu\nu}\right] = \int d^{D}\tilde{q} \frac{\left[q^{2}, q_{\mu}, q_{\mu}q_{\nu}\right]}{\left[q^{2} - m_{1}^{2}\right] \left[\left(q + p\right)^{2} - m_{2}^{2}\right] \left[\left(q + p + k\right)^{2} - m_{3}^{2}\right]}.$$
(A.6)

From now on, unless otherwise stated, the arguments of the one-, two- and three-point functions are understood to be those of (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3) respectively.

Lorentz covariance allows us to express tensor integrals (this is true only for one-loop integrals) in terms of the scalar ones (A.1)-(A.3) and the external momenta:

$$\tilde{B}_{0} = A_{0}(m_{2}) + m_{1}^{2}B_{0}(p, m_{1}, m_{2}) ,$$

$$B_{\mu} = p_{\mu}B_{1}(p, m_{1}, m_{2}) ,$$

$$B_{\mu\nu} = p_{\mu}p_{\nu}B_{21}(p, m_{1}, m_{2}) + g_{\mu\nu}B_{22}(p, m_{1}, m_{2}) ,$$

$$\tilde{C}_{0}(p, k, m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}) = B_{0}(k, m_{2}, m_{3}) + m_{1}^{2}C_{0}(p, k, m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}) ,$$

$$C_{\mu\nu} = p_{\mu}p_{\nu}C_{21} + k_{\mu}k_{\nu}C_{22} + (p_{\mu}k_{\nu} + k_{\mu}p_{\nu})C_{23} + g_{\mu\nu}C_{24} , \qquad (A.7)$$

defining in a unique form the Lorentz invariant functions:²

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2p^2} \left[A_0(m_1) - A_0(m_2) - f_1 B_0(p, m_1, m_2) \right], \tag{A.8}$$

$$B_{21} = \frac{1}{2p^{2}(D-1)} \left[(D-2)A_{0}(m_{2}) - 2m_{1}^{2}B_{0}(p, m_{1}, m_{2}) - Df_{1}B_{1}(p, m_{1}, m_{2}) \right], \tag{A.9}$$

$$B_{22} = \frac{1}{2(D-1)} \left[A_0(m_2) + 2m_1^2 B_0(p, m_1, m_2) + f_1 B_1(p, m_1, m_2) \right], \tag{A.10}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{11} \\ C_{12} \end{pmatrix} = Y \begin{pmatrix} B_0 (p+k, m_1, m_3) - B_0 (k, m_2, m_3) - f_1 C_0 \\ B_0 (p, m_1, m_2) - B_0 (p+k, m_1, m_3) - f_2 C_0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(A.11)

²Depending on p, k only through their scalar products, p^2, pk, k^2 .

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{21} \\ C_{23} \end{pmatrix} = Y \begin{pmatrix} B_1(p+k, m_1, m_3) + B_0(k, m_2, m_3) - f_1C_{11} - 2C_{24} \\ B_1(p, m_1, m_2) - B_1(p+k, m_1, m_3) - f_2C_{11} \end{pmatrix},$$
(A.12)

$$C_{22} = \frac{1}{2 \left[p^2 k^2 - (pk)^2 \right]} \left\{ -pk \left[B_1 \left(p + k, m_1, m_3 \right) - B_1 \left(k, m_2, m_3 \right) - f_1 C_{12} \right] + p^2 \left[-B_1 \left(p + k, m_1, m_3 \right) - f_2 C_{12} - 2 C_{24} \right] \right\},$$
(A.13)

$$C_{24} = \frac{1}{2(D-2)} \left[B_0(k, m_2, m_3) + 2m_1^2 C_0 + f_1 C_{11} + f_2 C_{12} \right], \tag{A.14}$$

the factors $f_{1,2}$ and the matrix Y being

$$f_{1} = p^{2} + m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2},$$

$$f_{2} = k^{2} + 2pk + m_{2}^{2} - m_{3}^{2},$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{2 \left[p^{2}k^{2} - (pk)^{2}\right]} \begin{pmatrix} k^{2} & -pk \\ -pk & p^{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(A.15)

In the $D \to 4$ limit, UV divergences may be parametrized as:

$$\epsilon = D - 4,$$

$$\Delta = \frac{2}{\epsilon} + \gamma_E - \ln(4\pi) - \ln \mu^2,$$
(A.16)

where γ_E stands for the Euler constant.

 A_0 , B_0 , C_0 evaluation At the end, from eqs. (A.8)-(A.14), one is left with the evaluation of the scalar one-loop functions:

$$A_0(m) = \left(\frac{-i}{16\pi^2}\right) m^2 (\Delta - 1 + \ln m^2), \qquad (A.17)$$

$$B_0(p, m_1, m_2) = \left(\frac{-i}{16\pi^2}\right) \left[\Delta + \ln p^2 - 2 + \ln[(x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1) + (x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1) + (x_2 - 1) + (x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 1) + (x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 1) + (x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 1) + (x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 1)($$

$$+x_1 \ln \frac{x_1}{x_1 - 1} + x_2 \ln \frac{x_2}{x_2 - 1}$$
, (A.18)

$$C_0(p, k, m_1, m_2, m_3) = \left(\frac{-i}{16\pi^2}\right) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{pk + p^2 \xi} \sum$$
 (A.19)

where the value of $x_{1,2}$ is

$$x_{1,2} = x_{1,2} (p, m_1, m_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2p^2} \pm \frac{1}{2p^2} \lambda^{1/2} (p^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) ,$$

$$\lambda (x, y, z) = \left[x - (\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{z})^2 \right] \left[x - (\sqrt{y} + \sqrt{z})^2 \right] ,$$
(A.20)

and \sum represents the alternating sum of (complex) Spence functions

$$\sum = Sp\left(\frac{y_1}{y_1 - z_1^i}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_1 - 1}{y_1 - z_1^i}\right) + Sp\left(\frac{y_1}{y_1 - z_2^i}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_1 - 1}{y_1 - z_2^i}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_2 - 1}{y_2 - z_1^{ii}}\right) + Sp\left(\frac{y_2 - 1}{y_2 - z_1^{ii}}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_2}{y_2 - z_2^{ii}}\right) + Sp\left(\frac{y_2 - 1}{y_2 - z_2^{ii}}\right) + Sp\left(\frac{y_3 - 1}{y_3 - z_1^{iii}}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_3 - 1}{y_3 - z_1^{iii}}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_3 - 1}{y_3 - z_2^{iii}}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_3 - 1}{y_3 - z_2^{iii}}\right).$$
(A.21)

The Spence function is defined to be

$$Sp(z) = -\int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1-zt)}{t} dt.$$
 (A.22)

Besides, we have set, on the one hand

$$z_{1,2}^{i} = x_{1,2}(p, m_2, m_1),$$

$$z_{1,2}^{ii} = x_{1,2}(p+k, m_3, m_1),$$

$$z_{1,2}^{iii} = x_{1,2}(k, m_3, m_2);$$
(A.23)

and on the other

$$y_1 = y_0 + \xi$$
, $y_2 = \frac{y_0}{1 - \xi}$, $y_3 = -\frac{y_0}{\xi}$, $y_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{g + h\xi}{pk + p^2 \xi}$, (A.24)

where

$$g = -k^2 + m_2^2 - m_3^2$$
, $h = -p^2 - 2pk - m_2^2 + m_1^2$, (A.25)

and ξ is a root (real for on-shell external momenta) of

$$p^2\xi^2 + 2pk\xi + k^2 = 0. (A.26)$$

Scalar function derivatives When dealing with the counterterms, some derivatives of two-point functions are also required. Here we use the notation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p^2} B_*(p, m_1, m_2) \equiv B'_*(p, m_1, m_2). \tag{A.27}$$

As we did before, we can obtain all the needed derivatives starting from the scalar function B'_0 one, whose value is:

$$B_0'(p, m_1, m_2) = \left(\frac{-i}{16\pi^2}\right) \left\{ \frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}(p^2, m_1^2, m_2^2)} \left[x_1(x_1 - 1) \ln\left(\frac{x_1 - 1}{x_1}\right) - x_2(x_2 - 1) \ln\left(\frac{x_2 - 1}{x_2}\right) \right] \right\}. \tag{A.28}$$

A remarkable fact in (A.28) is the presence of a production threshold for $|p| = m_1 + m_2$ and of a pseudo-threshold at $|p| = |m_1 - m_2|$.

Integral expressions Integral forms for the scalar functions are useful to compute them in case of precision difficulties, or to derive limit expressions.

We are using the constant

$$\kappa = \frac{-i}{16\pi^2},\tag{A.29}$$

and the shorthand

$$\mathcal{R}_2^2(z, p, m_1, m_2) = p^2 z^2 - f_1 z + m_1^2. \tag{A.30}$$

For the two-point scalar functions one has:

$$B_{0} = \kappa \left[\Delta + \int_{0}^{1} dz \ln \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2} \right],$$

$$B_{1} = \kappa \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Delta - \int_{0}^{1} dz z \ln \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2} \right],$$

$$B_{21} = \kappa \left[\int_{0}^{1} dz z^{2} \ln \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2} + \frac{\Delta}{3} \right],$$

$$B_{22} = \kappa \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(-\frac{p^{2}}{3} + m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2} \right) (\Delta - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} dz \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2} \ln \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2} \right].$$
(A.31)

Correspondingly, for the three-point ones we use

$$\mathcal{R}_3^2(z, p, k, m_1, m_2, m_3) = p^2 x^2 + k^2 y^2 + 2pkxy - f_1 x - f_2 y + m_1^2, \tag{A.32}$$

to obtain

$$C_{0} = \kappa \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{x} dy \mathcal{R}_{3}^{-2},$$

$$[C_{11}, C_{12}] = \kappa \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{x} dy [-x, -y] \mathcal{R}_{3}^{-2},$$

$$[C_{21}, C_{22}, C_{23}] = \kappa \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{x} dy [x^{2}, y^{2}, xy] \mathcal{R}_{3}^{-2},$$

$$C_{24} = \kappa \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{x} dy \ln \mathcal{R}_{3}^{-2}\right].$$
(A.33)

Limit expressions Next we gather some limit forms for the scalar functions. First we consider B's:

$$\begin{split} B_{0}(0,m_{1},m_{2}) &= \kappa \left(\Delta - 1 + \frac{m_{2}^{2}}{m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2}} \ln m_{2}^{2} + \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2}} \ln m_{1}^{2} \right) \,, \\ B_{0}(0,m,m) &= -2B_{1}(0,m,m) = \kappa \left(\Delta + \ln m^{2} \right) \,, \\ B_{0}(0,m,0) &= B_{0}(0,0,m) = -\kappa + B_{0}(0,m,m) \,, \\ B_{0}(p,0,0) &= -2B_{1}(p,0,0) = \kappa \left(\Delta - 2 + i\pi + \ln p^{2} \right) \,; \\ B_{1}(0,m_{1},m_{2}) &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \left[-\Delta + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2}} - \ln m_{2}^{2} + \left(\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2}} \right)^{2} \ln \frac{m_{2}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2}} \right] \,, \\ B_{1}(0,m,0) &= \frac{\kappa}{2} + B_{1}(0,0,m) = \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(-\Delta + \frac{3}{2} - \ln m^{2} \right) \,; \\ B_{21}(0,m_{1},m_{2}) &= \frac{\kappa}{3} \left[\Delta - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2}} - \left(\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2}} \right)^{2} + \ln m_{2}^{2} + \left(\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2}} \right)^{3} \ln \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{m_{2}^{2}} \right] \,, \\ B_{21}(0,m,m) &= \frac{\kappa}{3} \left(\Delta + \ln m^{2} \right) \,, \\ B_{21}(0,m,m) &= -\frac{\kappa}{2} + B_{21}(0,0,m) = -\frac{\kappa}{18} + B_{21}(0,m,m) \,, \\ B_{21}(p,0,0) &= \frac{\kappa}{3} \left(\Delta - \frac{13}{6} + i\pi + \ln p^{2} \right) \,; \\ B_{22}(0,m_{1},m_{2}) &= \frac{\kappa}{4} \frac{1}{m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2}} \left[m_{1}^{4} \left(\Delta + \ln m_{1}^{2} \right) - m_{2}^{4} \left(\Delta + \ln m_{2}^{2} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \left(m_{1}^{4} - m_{2}^{4} \right) \right] \,, \\ B_{22}(0,m,m) &= \frac{\kappa}{2} m^{2} \left(\Delta - 1 + \ln m^{2} \right) \,, \\ B_{22}(0,m,0) &= B_{22}(0,0,m) = -\frac{\kappa}{8} + \frac{1}{2} B_{22}(0,m,m) \,, \\ B_{22}(p,0,0) &= \frac{\kappa}{2} p^{2} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \Delta + \frac{2}{2} - \frac{i\pi}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \ln p^{2} \right) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.37}$$

When looking at three-point functions, if $p^2 = 0$ one has

$$C_{0}(p^{2} = 0, k, m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}) = \frac{\kappa}{2pk} \left[Sp\left(\frac{y_{0}}{y_{0} - z_{1}^{i}}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_{0} - 1}{y_{0} - z_{1}^{i}}\right) + Sp\left(\frac{y_{0}}{y_{0} - z_{2}^{i}}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_{0} - 1}{y_{0} - z_{2}^{i}}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_{0} - 1}{y_{0} - z_{1}^{i}}\right) + Sp\left(\frac{y_{0} - 1}{y_{0} - z_{1}^{ii}}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_{0}}{y_{0} - z_{2}^{ii}}\right) + Sp\left(\frac{y_{0} - 1}{y_{0} - z_{2}^{ii}}\right) \right],$$
(A.38)

with

$$z_{1,2}^{i} = x_{1,2}(k, m_2, m_3), y_0 = \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2pk},$$

 $z_{1,2}^{ii} = x_{1,2}(p+k, m_1, m_3).$ (A.39)

If one also has $(p+k)^2 = 0$, then

$$C_{0}(p, k, m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3})\Big|_{p^{2}=(p+k)^{2}=0} = -\frac{\kappa}{k^{2}} \left[Sp\left(\frac{y_{0}}{y_{0}-z_{1}^{i}}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_{0}-1}{y_{0}-z_{1}^{i}}\right) + Sp\left(\frac{y_{0}}{y_{0}-z_{2}^{i}}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_{0}-1}{y_{0}-z_{2}^{i}}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{y_{0}-1}{y_{0}-z_{2}^{i}}\right) + Sp\left(\frac{y_{0}-1}{y_{0}-z_{i}^{i}}\right) \right],$$
(A.40)

 $z_{1,2}^i$ being those of (A.39), and z^{ii} , y_0

$$z^{ii} = \frac{m_1^2}{m_1^2 - m_3^2}, y_0 = \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{k^2}. (A.41)$$

Finally, if even $s^2 = 0$,

$$C_0(0,0,m_1,m_2,m_3) = \frac{\kappa}{m_3^2 - m_2^2} \left(\ln \frac{m_3^2}{m_2^2} + \frac{m_1^2}{m_3^2 - m_1^2} \ln \frac{m_3^2}{m_1^2} - \frac{m_1^2}{m_2^2 - m_1^2} \ln \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} \right), (A.42)$$

a symmetric expression under permutation of the masses. In this latter case, the expansions (A.11)-(A.13) are singular and no longer useful. Thus, starting again from (A.31), (A.33)

$$\tilde{C}_{0}(0,0,m_{1},m_{2},m_{3}) = \kappa \left(\Delta - 1 + \frac{m_{1}^{4}}{(m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2})(m_{3}^{2} - m_{1}^{2})} \ln m_{1}^{2} + \frac{m_{2}^{4}}{(m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2})(m_{3}^{2} - m_{2}^{2})} \ln m_{2}^{2} + \frac{m_{3}^{4}}{(m_{1}^{2} - m_{3}^{2})(m_{2}^{2} - m_{3}^{2})} \ln m_{3}^{2} \right) ,$$

$$C_{24}(0,0,m_{1},m_{2},m_{3}) = \frac{1}{D} \tilde{C}_{0}(0,0,m_{1},m_{2},m_{3}) . \tag{A.43}$$

Some useful relations From the definitions and the integral formulae (A.31), (A.33) some relations are easily derived that show up very useful in doing selfconsistency checks of the calculation:

$$0 = B_0(p, m_1, m_2) + B_1(p, m_1, m_2) + B_1(p, m_2, m_1),$$

$$2B_{22} = p^2 B_{21} + f_1 B_1 + m_1^2 B_0 - \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(-p^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2 \right),$$

$$\tilde{C}_{0} = p^{2}C_{21} + k^{2}C_{22} + 2pkC_{23} + 4C_{24} + \frac{\kappa}{2},$$

$$\frac{\kappa}{2} = p^{2}C_{21} + k^{2}C_{22} + 2pkC_{23} + f_{1}C_{11} + f_{2}C_{12} + m_{1}^{2}C_{0}.$$
(A.44)