



UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA  
DE CATALUNYA

Ph.D. Thesis Dissertation

Optimization and Generalization  
of Lifting Schemes:  
Application to Lossless Image Compression

Author: Joel Solé Rojals

Advisor: Prof. Philippe Salembier

Image Processing Group  
Department of Signal Theory and Communications  
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Barcelona, April 2006



*Als meus pares,*



# Abstract

This Ph.D. thesis dissertation addresses multi-resolution image decomposition, a key issue in signal processing that in recent years has contributed to the emergence of the JPEG2000 image compression standard. JPEG2000 incorporates many interesting features, mainly due to the discrete wavelet transform stage and to the EBCOT entropy coder.

Wavelet analysis perform multi-resolution decompositions that decorrelate signal and separate information in useful frequency-bands, allowing flexible post-coding. In JPEG2000, decomposition is computed through the lifting scheme, the so-called second generation wavelets. This fact has focused the community interest on this tool. Many works have been recently proposed in which lifting is modified, improved, or included in a complete image coding algorithm.

The Ph.D. thesis dissertation follows this research line. Lifting is analyzed, proposals are made within the scheme, and their possibilities are explored. Image compression is the main objective and it is principally assessed by means of coding transformed signal with EBCOT and SPIHT coders. Starting from this context, the work diverges in two distinct paths, the linear and the nonlinear one.

The linear lifting filter construction is based on the idea of quadratic interpolation and the underlying linear restriction due to the wavelet transform coefficients. The result is a flexible framework that allows the creation of new transforms using different criteria and that may adapt to the image statistics.

The nonlinear part is founded on the adaptive lifting scheme, which is extensively analyzed and as a consequence, a generalization of the lifting is proposed. The discrete version of the generalized lifting is developed leading to filters that achieve good compression results, specially for biomedical and remote sensing images.



# Resumen

Esta tesis aborda el problema de la descomposición multi-resolución, tema clave en procesamiento de la señal que ha llevado estos últimos años a la creación del sobresaliente estándar JPEG2000 de compresión de imágenes. JPEG2000 incorpora una serie de funcionalidades muy interesantes debido básicamente a la transformada wavelet discreta y al codificador entrópico EBCOT.

La transformada wavelet realiza una descomposición multi-resolución que decorrela la señal separando la información en un conjunto de bandas frecuenciales útiles para la posterior codificación. En JPEG2000, la descomposición se calcula mediante el esquema lifting, también llamado wavelet de segunda generación. La integración del esquema lifting en el estándar ha centrado el interés de muchos investigadores en esta herramienta. Recientemente, han aparecido numerosos trabajos proponiendo modificaciones y mejoras del lifting, así como su inclusión en nuevos algoritmos de codificación de imágenes.

La tesis doctoral sigue esta línea de investigación. Se estudia el lifting, se hacen propuestas dentro del esquema y sus posibilidades se exploran. Se ha fijado la compresión de imágenes como el principal objetivo para la creación de nuevas transformadas wavelet, que se evalúan en su mayor parte mediante la codificación de la señal transformada con EBCOT o SPIHT. Dentro de este contexto, el trabajo diverge en dos caminos distintos, el lineal y el no lineal.

La construcción de filtros lifting lineales se basa en la idea de interpolación cuadrática y la restricción lineal subyacente de los coeficientes wavelet. El resultado es un marco de trabajo flexible que permite la creación de transformadas con distintos criterios y adaptables a la estadística de la imagen.

La parte no lineal tiene sus fundamentos en el esquema lifting adaptativo, del cuál se ofrece un extenso análisis y como consecuencia se propone una generalización del lifting. Su versión discreta se desarrolla consiguiendo filtros lifting que obtienen buenos resultados, sobretodo en imágenes biomédicas y de detección remota.





# Agradecimientos

Primero, quiero dar las gracias a Philippe. Difícilmente podría imaginar un mejor director de tesis.

Gracias también al grupo de imagen y a los doctorandos de GPS por toda la ayuda, así como los cafés y viajes compartidos, y el fútbol de los jueves.

Finalmente, agradezco a todos los que fuera de la universidad me han soportado; compañeros de piso y amigos, y especialmente mi familia y Anna.

Joel

Barcelona, Abril 2006



# Contents

<b>Notation</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>Acronyms</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2 Thesis Organization . . . . .	3
1.3 Research Contributions . . . . .	4
<b>2 Discrete Wavelet Transform, Lifting, and Image Coding: An Overview</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Discrete Wavelet Transform . . . . .	7
2.1.1 Multi-resolution Analysis . . . . .	8
2.1.2 Biorthogonal Wavelets . . . . .	11
2.1.2.1 Vanishing Moments . . . . .	12
2.1.3 Discrete Wavelet Transform in Image Compression . . . . .	12
2.2 Lifting Scheme . . . . .	13
2.2.1 Classical Lifting . . . . .	15
2.2.2 Polyphase Characterization of Perfect Reconstruction . . . . .	17
2.2.3 Polyphase Characterization of Lifting Scheme . . . . .	20
2.2.4 Lifting in JPEG2000-LS . . . . .	22
2.2.5 Space-Varying Lifting . . . . .	25
2.2.6 Adaptive Lifting . . . . .	26

2.3	Review of Lifting Algorithms . . . . .	27
2.3.1	Methods for Lifting Design . . . . .	28
2.3.2	Methods for Lifting Optimization . . . . .	31
2.3.3	Lifting in Video Compression . . . . .	34
2.4	Other Adaptive, Nonlinear, and Sparse Decompositions . . . . .	36
2.5	Wavelet-based Image Coders . . . . .	36
2.5.1	Embedded Bit-Stream and Scalability . . . . .	39
2.5.2	SPIHT coder . . . . .	40
2.5.3	EBCOT coder . . . . .	42
<b>3</b>	<b>Linear Lifting Schemes: Interpolative and Projection-based Lifting</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	43
3.1.1	Convex Optimization Theory . . . . .	45
3.2	Quadratic Image Interpolation Methods . . . . .	47
3.2.1	Quadratic Interpolation . . . . .	47
3.2.2	Optimal Quadratic Interpolation . . . . .	48
3.2.3	Alternative Formulations . . . . .	51
3.2.3.1	Signal Bound Constraint . . . . .	51
3.2.3.2	Weighted Objective . . . . .	52
3.2.3.3	Energy Penalizing Objective . . . . .	52
3.2.3.4	Signal Regularizing Objective . . . . .	53
3.2.3.5	$l^1$ -norm Objective . . . . .	54
3.3	Projection-based Lifting . . . . .	55
3.3.1	Wavelet Linear Constraint . . . . .	55
3.3.2	Linear Prediction Steps Construction . . . . .	56
3.3.3	Linear Update Steps Construction . . . . .	58
3.3.3.1	First Linear ULS Design . . . . .	59

3.3.3.2	Second Linear ULS Design . . . . .	60
3.3.3.3	Third Linear ULS Design . . . . .	61
3.4	Experiments . . . . .	63
3.4.1	Auto-regressive Image Model . . . . .	63
3.4.2	Interpolation Methods . . . . .	64
3.4.2.1	Interpolation Methods PSNR Performance . . . . .	67
3.4.3	Optimality Analysis . . . . .	68
3.4.3.1	First Prediction Step Study . . . . .	68
3.4.3.2	Update Step Study . . . . .	70
3.4.3.3	Second Prediction Step Study . . . . .	71
3.4.4	Improved Linear Lifting Steps Performance . . . . .	74
3.4.4.1	ULS with AR-1 Signal Test . . . . .	74
3.4.4.2	ULS on a Quincunx Grid with AR Data . . . . .	76
3.4.4.3	Local Adaptive ULS . . . . .	78
3.4.4.4	Image Class Optimal ULS Test . . . . .	78
3.4.4.5	A Refinement for Mammography . . . . .	79
3.4.4.6	Optimal Second PLS Test . . . . .	81
3.5	Chapter Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	82
<b>4</b>	<b>From Adaptive to Generalized Lifting</b>	<b>83</b>
4.1	Adaptive Lifting Description . . . . .	83
4.2	Adaptive Lifting Analysis . . . . .	86
4.3	Adaptive Lifting Steps Construction . . . . .	91
4.3.1	Median-based Decision Adaptive ULS . . . . .	91
4.3.1.1	Scheme Description . . . . .	91
4.3.1.2	Experiments . . . . .	93
4.3.2	Variance-based Decision Adaptive ULS . . . . .	94

4.3.2.1	Scheme Description . . . . .	94
4.3.2.2	Experiments . . . . .	98
4.4	Generalized Lifting . . . . .	100
4.4.1	Discrete Generalized Lifting . . . . .	103
<b>5</b>	<b>Generalized Discrete Lifting Steps Construction</b>	<b>107</b>
5.1	Generalized Discrete Prediction Design . . . . .	108
5.1.1	Geometrical Design of the Prediction . . . . .	108
5.1.1.1	Experiments and Results . . . . .	110
5.1.1.2	Extensions . . . . .	112
5.1.2	Optimized Prediction Design . . . . .	113
5.1.2.1	Experiments and Results . . . . .	115
5.1.3	Adaptive Optimized Prediction Design . . . . .	120
5.1.3.1	Experiments and Results . . . . .	121
5.1.3.2	Convergence Issues . . . . .	123
5.2	Generalized Discrete Update Design . . . . .	124
5.2.1	Update Step Objectives . . . . .	126
5.2.1.1	Joint Update-Prediction Design . . . . .	128
5.2.2	Update-Last Design . . . . .	129
5.2.2.1	Entropy Minimization . . . . .	129
5.2.3	Update-First Design . . . . .	131
5.2.3.1	Gradient Minimization . . . . .	132
5.3	Nonlinear Lifting Chapters Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	133
5.A	Appendix: Proof of Minimum Energy/Entropy Mappings . . . . .	134
5.B	Appendix: Algorithm to Implement 3-D SPIHT . . . . .	138
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>141</b>
6.1	Conclusions . . . . .	141

6.2 Future Work . . . . .	144
<b>A Benchmark Images</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>151</b>





# Notation

Boldface upper-case letters denote matrices, boldface lower-case letters denote column vectors, upper-case italics denote sets, and lower-case italics denote scalars.

$\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}$	The set of real and integer numbers, respectively.
$\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_{++}$	The set of non-negative real and positive real numbers, respectively.
$\mathbb{Z}_n$	A set of $n$ consecutive integer numbers.
$\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$	The set of $n \times m$ matrices with real-valued entries.
$\mathbf{X}^T$	Transpose of the matrix $\mathbf{X}$ .
$\mathbf{X}^{-1}$	Inverse of the matrix $\mathbf{X}$ .
$[\mathbf{X}]_{i,j}$	$(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ component of the matrix $\mathbf{X}$ .
$\mathbf{I}_n$	Identity matrix of dimensions $n \times n$ (the dimension is not explicitly indicated if it is clear from the context).
$\mathbf{e}_i$	Canonical vector with all the elements being zeros except the $i^{\text{th}}$ one which is equal to one.
$\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{b}$	Elementwise relation $a_i \geq b_i$ .
$ x $	Absolute value of the scalar $x$ .
$\ \mathbf{x}\ $	Euclidean norm of the vector $\mathbf{x}$ : $\ \mathbf{x}\  = \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}$ .
arg	Argument.
max, min	Maximum and minimum.
$(\cdot)^*$	Optimal value.
$\cap, \cup$	Intersection and union.
$[a, b], (a, b)$	Closed interval ( $a \leq x \leq b$ ) and open interval ( $a < x < b$ ), respectively.

$\Pr(\cdot)$	Probability.
$\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$	Mathematical expectation.
$ \mathcal{A} $	Cardinality of the set $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e., number of elements in $\mathcal{A}$ .
$L^2(\mathbb{R})$	The space of square integrable functions.
$l^2(\mathbb{Z})$	The space of square summable sequences.
$*$	Linear convolution.
$\propto$	Equal up to a scaling factor (proportional).
$\triangleq$	Defined as.
$\simeq$	Approximately equal.
$\cong$	Equivalent to.
$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f$	Gradient of the function $f$ with respect to $\mathbf{x}$ .
$P(\cdot)$	Prediction lifting step.
$U(\cdot)$	Update lifting step.
$\lceil \cdot \rceil$	Rounding to nearest integer.
$Q(\cdot)$	Quantization.
$\exp(\cdot)$	Exponential.
$\log(\cdot)$	Natural logarithm.
$\log_a(\cdot)$	Base- $a$ logarithm.
$\delta[\cdot]$	Kronecker delta.

# Acronyms

<b>1-D, 2-D, 3-D</b>	One-dimensional, two-dimensional, and three-dimensional, respectively.
<b>AR, AR-m</b>	Auto-regressive and auto-regressive model of $m^{\text{th}}$ order, respectively.
<b>bpp</b>	bits per pixel.
<b>DWT</b>	Discrete Wavelet Transform.
<b>EBCOT</b>	Embedded Block Coding with Optimized Truncation.
<b>EZW</b>	Embedded Zero-tree Wavelet coding.
<b>FB</b>	Filter Bank.
<b>FIR</b>	Finite Impulse Response.
<b>GL</b>	Generalized Lifting.
<b>GLS</b>	Generalized Lifting Step.
<b>IEEE</b>	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.
<b>IIR</b>	Infinite Impulse Response.
<b>ISO</b>	International Organization for Standardization.
<b>ITU-T</b>	International Telecommunications Union (Standardization Sector).
<b>JPEG</b>	Joint Photographic Experts Group (image standard).
<b>JPEG-LS</b>	JPEG Lossless image standard.
<b>KKT</b>	Karush-Kuhn-Tucker.
<b>LC</b>	Local Characteristics.
<b>LHS</b>	Left-Hand Side.
<b>LMS</b>	Least Mean Square.
<b>LS</b>	Lifting Scheme.
<b>LSB</b>	Least Significant Bit.
<b>LUT</b>	Look-Up Table.

<b>LWT</b>	Lazy Wavelet Transform.
<b>MRA</b>	Multi-Resolution Analysis.
<b>MSB</b>	Most Significant Bit.
<b>MSE</b>	Mean Square Error.
<b>PLS</b>	Prediction Lifting Step.
<b>pdf</b>	probability density function.
<b>PR</b>	Perfect Reconstruction.
<b>PSNR</b>	Peak Signal to Noise Ratio.
<b>ROF</b>	Rank-Order Filter.
<b>RHS</b>	Right-Hand Side.
<b>SNR</b>	Signal to Noise Ratio.
<b>SPIHT</b>	Set Partitioning in Hierarchical Trees.
<b>SST</b>	Sea Surface Temperature.
<b>s.t.</b>	subject to.
<b>ULS</b>	Update Lifting Step.
<b>w.r.t.</b>	with respect to.