

# **Large scale structure and dark energy**

Ph.D Thesis in Physics  
Universitat de Barcelona  
Departament d'Astronomia i Meteorologia

(Programa de doctorat bienni 2003-2005)

Anna Cabré Albós  
Supervisor: Enrique Gaztañaga Balbás

Tutor: Eduard Salvador Solé

Barcelona 2008



# Contents

<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>I Structure formation through cross-correlating sky maps</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>2 The Integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect introduction . . . . .	13
2.2 Cross-correlation in configuration space from harmonic space . . . . .	14
2.3 How to obtain cross-correlation in real data . . . . .	16
<b>3 Errors analysis in the cross-correlation</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1 Introduction to errors and literature . . . . .	17
3.2 Introduction to the methods to calculate errors . . . . .	19
3.3 Monte Carlo simulations (MC) . . . . .	19
3.3.1 Clustering in the simulations . . . . .	21
3.3.2 Convergence in simulations . . . . .	22
3.3.3 Simulations with a fixed galaxy map (MC1) . . . . .	24
3.4 Jackknife errors (JK) . . . . .	25
3.5 Errors in harmonic space (TH) . . . . .	25
3.6 Errors in configuration space (TC) . . . . .	27
<b>4 Comparison between different error estimators</b>	<b>29</b>
4.1 Configuration space . . . . .	29
4.2 Harmonic space . . . . .	31
4.3 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors from SVD . . . . .	31
4.4 Variance in $w(\theta)$ . . . . .	32
4.5 Effect of partial sky coverage . . . . .	33
4.6 Uncertainty in $w(\theta)$ errors . . . . .	34
4.7 Error distribution for JK . . . . .	35
4.8 Variance in $C_\ell$ . . . . .	36
4.9 Dependence on $\Omega_{DE}$ . . . . .	37
<b>5 Constraints and significance</b>	<b>39</b>
5.1 Signal-to-noise from $w(\theta)$ . . . . .	39
5.2 Signal-to-noise forecast from $C_\ell$ . . . . .	40
5.3 $\chi^2$ estimation . . . . .	42
5.4 Best fit model . . . . .	43

---

<b>6 Cross-correlation between WMAP 3rd year and SDSS galaxy survey</b>	<b>47</b>
6.1 The Data . . . . .	47
6.2 Cross-Correlation and errors . . . . .	48
6.2.1 Comparison with Predictions . . . . .	51
6.3 Systematic errors . . . . .	53
<b>7 Summary &amp; Conclusions for ISW</b>	<b>57</b>
7.1 Conclusions for error estimation . . . . .	58
7.2 Conclusions for real data . . . . .	60
<b>II Redshift space distortions</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>8 Theory of redshift space distortions</b>	<b>63</b>
8.1 Introduction to redshift space distortions . . . . .	63
8.2 Model for the anisotropic 2-point correlation function in redshift space . . . . .	64
8.3 Multipoles of $\xi(\pi, \sigma)$ . . . . .	65
8.4 The projected correlation function $\Xi(\sigma)$ . . . . .	66
8.5 The real space correlation function $\xi(r)$ . . . . .	66
8.6 Growth history . . . . .	69
8.6.1 Growth history in redshift distortions . . . . .	70
8.6.2 Growth history in ISW effect . . . . .	70
8.7 Baryon acoustic peak . . . . .	70
8.8 Magnification bias in the baryon acoustic peak . . . . .	71
<b>9 Testing the errors and models with simulations</b>	<b>75</b>
9.1 Description of the simulations . . . . .	75
9.1.1 How to generate redshift distortions in the simulations . . . . .	75
9.2 Halo simulations . . . . .	76
9.3 Description of errors Monte Carlo, jackknife and analytical . . . . .	76
9.4 Study of the errors . . . . .	77
9.4.1 Errors in $\xi(\pi, \sigma)$ . . . . .	78
9.4.2 Error in the monopole $\xi_0(s)$ . . . . .	84
9.4.3 Error in the quadrupole $Q(s)$ . . . . .	86
9.4.4 Errors in the projected correlation function $\Xi(\sigma)$ . . . . .	87
9.5 Gaussianity in the errors . . . . .	88
9.6 Peculiar velocities . . . . .	89
9.7 Validity of the models . . . . .	94
<b>10 Luminous Red Galaxies analysis</b>	<b>101</b>
10.1 Clustering of LRG . . . . .	102
10.2 BAO detection . . . . .	103
10.3 Luminous Red Galaxies data . . . . .	104
10.4 Estimation of the anisotropic 2-point correlation function . . . . .	109
10.5 Quadrupole result . . . . .	111
10.6 Fitting at large scales . . . . .	115
10.6.1 Fitting $\sigma_8$ or the modified gravity growth index $\gamma$ . . . . .	120
10.7 Fitting to small scales and non-linear bias . . . . .	123
10.7.1 Measurement of $\sigma_v$ as a function of scale . . . . .	127
10.7.2 Consistency of $\sigma - \pi$ model with data . . . . .	132

10.8 Different redshift slices . . . . .	137
10.9 Angular correlation and cross-correlation with WMAP, ISW effect . . . . .	142
10.10 Evidence of magnification bias in the BAO . . . . .	145
10.11 Systematic errors . . . . .	156
<b>11 Conclusions for Part II</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>III Resum de la tesi</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>12 Formació d'estructura correlacionant mapes del cel</b>	<b>173</b>
12.1 L'efecte Sachs-Wolfe integrat . . . . .	173
12.2 Teoria ISW . . . . .	174
12.3 Anàlisi d'errors en la correlació creuada TG . . . . .	174
12.4 Comparació entre covariàncies i errors diagonals . . . . .	177
12.5 Correlació creuada entre WMAP3 i el catàleg de galàxies SDSS DR3 . . . . .	177
12.6 Discussió . . . . .	178
<b>13 Distorsions en l'espai de velocitats</b>	<b>181</b>
13.1 Teoria . . . . .	181
13.2 Estudi d'errors . . . . .	183
13.2.1 Errors en $\xi(\pi, \sigma)$ . . . . .	183
13.2.2 Error en el monopol $\xi_0(s)$ . . . . .	184
13.2.3 Error en el quadrupol $Q(s)$ . . . . .	184
13.2.4 Errors en la funció de correlació projectada $\Xi(\sigma)$ . . . . .	185
13.2.5 Errors en la funció de correlació real $\xi(r)$ . . . . .	185
13.3 Anàlisi de les galàxies lluminoses vermelles (LRG) . . . . .	187
13.4 Resultat en el quadrupol . . . . .	187
13.5 Ajust per a escales grans . . . . .	187
13.5.1 Ajust de $\sigma_8$ . . . . .	188
13.6 Ajust per a escales petites i biaix no lineal . . . . .	190
13.7 Correlació angular i correlació creuada amb el CMB, efecte ISW . . . . .	194
13.8 Obtenció de paràmetres cosmològics a partir de la posició del pic acústic . . . . .	196
<b>14 Conclusions de: Distorsions en l'espai de velocitats</b>	<b>201</b>



# Acknowledgments

Ho vull agrair ...

A l'Enrique per tot, per dirigir-me la tesi, i per confiar en mi.

A l'Albert, per esperar.

A la família, pares, Pau, Elena i nebodets, per comprensió, menjar preparat, companyia i diversió.

Als amics feinils Violeta, Silvia, Anna, Moi, Carlo, Jose, Miquel, Alina, Ignasi, Pep, Anais, Elsa, Josep, Marc, Pablo, Martin i IEEC SA, que m'han aguantat la faceta més sincera, i perquè ha estat tota una experiència compartida.

Als contactes externs, Carlton i Joshua.

Als amics de sempre i d'ara perquè m'admiren moltíssim sense tenir ni idea de què va la cosa, Laila, nenes, Marta, Àlex, Cots, Tatay, Tànit, vaques, Maria, Berta, Estel, Anna, Mireia, Manu, Xavi, Tito, trujus, trio, coral, facultat ... i em treuen a passejar, i em diverteixo amb ells.

I més família, Maria i la resta

I a tots els amics que he anat trobant pels llocs, Valeria, Nadia, Antonio, Ponja, Claus, Lau, ...

I als millors llocs on podia haver anat a parar, Durham, Tonantzintla i Chicago



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

The standard cosmological model starts with Big Bang, followed by a rapid period of expansion of the universe called inflation. After that, tiny almost homogeneous fluctuations that conform the primordial universe, start to grow while universe expands now in a relatively slow rhythm. 380,000 years after the Big Bang, the temperature is low enough to make the universe become neutral after the recombination of atoms with electrons. Photons are almost free of interactions since then and reach us in the form of a Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB). We can measure the spatial anisotropy spectrum of CMB temperatures and compare it to the expected spectrum of acoustic oscillations. This comparison provides a direct geometrical test from which we can deduce that universe is flat or nearly flat. This can be explained if we introduce a new constituent in the universe apart from matter, the dark energy. Dark energy acts as anti-gravity that accelerates the expansion and is also observed through standard candles Supernovae Ia. Although there is a well motivated model that can explain observations, neither dark matter nor dark energy are known elements, so it is important to use the large amount of newly available data to obtain tighter constraints on the constituents of the universe, the evolution of growth perturbations, the expansion history, and also other alternatives, such as modification of gravity at large scales.

The cosmic expansion history tests the dynamics of the global evolution of the universe and its energy density contents, while the cosmic growth history tests the evolution of the inhomogeneous part of the energy density. By comparing both histories, we can distinguish the nature of the physics responsible of the acceleration of the universe: dark energy or modified gravity. Most of the observational evidence for the accelerating universe comes from geometrical tests that measure directly  $H(z) = \dot{a}/a$ , the expansion rate of the universe, such as measurements of the luminosity distance using standard candles (Sn Ia) or measurements of the angular distance using standard rulers as baryonic acoustic oscillations. Observations of the cosmic expansion history alone can not distinguish dark energy from modified gravity, since the expansion history  $H(z)$  can be reproduced by any modified gravity model, by changing the energy equation of state  $w$ . The additional observational input that is required is the growth function  $\delta(z) = \frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}(z)$  of the linear matter density contrast as a function of redshift (usually used as the normalized growth function  $D(z) = \delta(z)/\delta(0)$ ) (see Chapter 8.6).

In the first part of the thesis, we study the Integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect (ISW), through the cross-correlation between large scale clustering, traced by galaxies (in our case from the catalog SDSS) and primordial temperature fluctuations from CMB (using the catalog WMAP). Photons that come from the last scattering surface can be red or blue shifted by the time evolution of fluctuations in the gravitational potentials created by large scale structures, which

are traced by the large scale galaxy distribution. The ISW effect gives us information about dark energy (DE), because DE modifies the evolution of dark matter gravitational potential. There is no ISW effect in a flat universe without DE (ie in the Einstein-de-Sitter universe) because in this case the gravitational potential remains constant (in the linear regime, which corresponds to large angular scales). In principle, the ISW effect can probe dark energy independently from other observations, such as Supernovae Ia.

The correlation between galaxies in redshift space can also be used to study the evolution of the dark matter gravitational potential in a way that is complementary to the cross-correlation of galaxies with CMB photons. In the second part of the thesis, we will study this effect in the luminous red galaxies of the SDSS. These galaxies trace very large volumes which is important to have more signal, and they have a known evolution which make easy to work with them.

Finally, the third part of the thesis is a summary in catalan, an official language of the University of Barcelona.